



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.41, No.41

October 21st, 2005

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

They have the hide to front and have their say. They have the gall, the nerve to pray.
Their presence on that most revered day, an insult o'er the scene doth sway.
They defile the spirit of our ANZAC day. They should be scorned and turned away!
Those quislings, whom this land do give away...
Tho' memory of their deeds doth fade, The 'ANZACS' and their land are now betrayed.
- "Anzac Day" by John John, Tasmania, 2005

KEEPING THE FLAME OF LIBERTY ALIGHT by James Reed:

Some of my colleagues and acquaintances believe I waste my time worrying about the issues that I do. They think the time spent doing my little bit to fight against the destruction of Western civilisation is wasted. Instead, I should work longer hours so that I have more money than I now have to spend on "things". Let the world burn so long as mindless consumerism flourishes.

What is wrong with the work, work and consume, for tomorrow we die philosophy? Everything is - it is the philosophy of the dung beetle, not that of a free man. It is contrary to the ideals of nobility, honour and dignity which once characterised our race.

And finally, this philosophy is the problem. It is commitment to the same philosophical ideals of our enemy that enables these elites to rule us so easily.

Even if our fate is to lose this battle - and I don't believe that this will be so - that is no reason to be a mere consumer slug. That hedonistic self-gratification will soon end and the little pink pigs will need to face the reality that awaits them. One has no choice but to strive to keep the flame of liberty alight because ultimately it is the only way of keeping oneself from becoming a mindless zombie.

SO IT IS TRUE WHAT THEY SAY ABOUT JOHN HOWARD by James Reed:

Myself and others have been saying for some time that John Howard is no friend of traditional Anglo-Australia but a new world order man who globalised the Australian economy; left us defenceless by taking away our guns; and Asianised Australia. To make the ethnic elites extra happy, he now floods the country with Africans to show his contempt for traditional Australia. An article in *The Australian*, September 5th, 2005, "Shift to the Left Under Howard", cites the results of a report "Australian Social Attitudes".

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *Keeping The Flame Of Liberty Alight* • *So It Is True What They Say About John Howard* • *Australia/America Free Trade Agreement And Telstra* • *WTO Telecoms Deal Will Ring In The Changes on February 5th, 1998*

Most Australians are allegedly happy and support for immigration has more than doubled since the tyrannical Keating regime. Over 80 per cent of Australians support choice on abortion and increasing numbers accept as families, same-sex couples. As Shaun Wilson of Macquarie University, a co-writer of the report put it: "There has been a long period of affluence, and that has taken the sting out of many social debates."

The life blood of Australia drips away day by day: Only if the Howard illusion is overcome, hopefully through a leadership challenge and the arrival of the new barbarian Costello the Magnificent, will the complacency of the conservative mind be shaken. Howard must be remembered as the greatest magician and smoke and mirrors man in Australia's history.

An editorial in *The Australian*, September 7th, 2005, "No Race to Reaction", summed it all up by saying that the above cited survey shows the success of Howard's destruction of Hansonism, her "snake oil" economic remedies and "poisonous propaganda" about the planned destruction of Anglo-Australia. It praises Howard's "political skills" in destroying this movement of ordinary people.

Howard has no respect for the traditions of freedom embodied in Anglo-Australian culture. When the National's Senator Barnaby Joyce forced him into his corner on the sale of Telstra he told Liberal and National politicians: "Whatever affiliations you have, your first loyalty is to this party room".

What about the fundamental democratic principle of representatives acting for their constituents! For Howard, such politicians will face being expelled from the party.

I, for one do not trust the elites and I don't trust any of their statistics be they on immigration, approval rates, or on race and IQs.

I don't care if I am the only person in Australia who can see: I just feel in my bones that the whole damned circus is now so corrupt that one finds oneself wishing for the political equivalent of Hurricane Katrina, whatever that is, to wash out these Aegean stables and restore truth to a political process that has become merely the mass manufacturing of lies and deception.

AUSTRALIA/AMERICA FREE TRADE AGREEMENT AND TELSTRA: "Trade negotiation is a grubby process of political trade-offs forged between political elites and large corporate interests."

Hands up those activists who have received a letter from their loyal, patriotic, trustworthy, Commonwealth politician saying the sale of Telstra is not part of the Australia/America Free Trade Agreement?

Let's quote from an article by John Spoehr, Executive Director of the Centre for Labour Research, University of Adelaide which appeared in the *Adelaide Review* March 2004:

"Past experience of FTAs struck between the US and other nations and regions suggest there is good reason to fear that Australia will be the loser from an FTA with the US. This is particularly so given the subservient relationship the Howard Government has with the Bush Administration. The Howard Government is very likely to have given far too much ground to the Bush Administration on such key issues as investment policy, access to the pharmaceutical benefits scheme (PBS), local content in broadcast media and competition policy. **Already a side agreement has been struck as part of the FTA committing to the sale of the remainder of Telstra...**

"What can Australia learn from previous free-trade agreements involving the US, like the North American FTA and the US/Singapore FTA? The lessons are sobering. One of the most perverse impacts of FTAs is the way in which they can place downward pressure on the wages and conditions of working people.

The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) has done just this, resulting in a flight of capital from the US and Canada to the sweat shops of Mexico. This has left thousands out of work in the US and Canada while rewarding the low-wage and environmentally hazardous industrial landscape of Mexico.

Increasingly FTAs are being used to lock nations into the sale of public assets or to prevent them from nationalising assets. The FTA struck by the US and Singapore in 2003 contained a commitment to the sale of SingTel and ST Telemedia. **No doubt this was the inspiration for attaching the sale of Telstra to the US/Australian FTA.** (emphasis added...ed)

Governments normally have the capacity to acquire private assets to meet public interest objectives. This practice barred by NAFTA.

If a similar clause is in the US/Australian FTA, failed outsourcing and privatisation projects could never be reversed. The sovereign right of elected governments to act in the public interest would be undermined.

Sources:

1. Garnaut, Ross (2002), "An Australian United States free trade agreement", *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 56, No 1.
2. Madeley, John (2000), "Hungry for Trade", Zed Books, London.

Is there still a slim chance to stop the sale of Telstra going through? More on this matter next week.

WTO TELECOMS DEAL WILL RING IN THE CHANGES ON 5 FEBRUARY 1998: The push for the sale of our assets is not a recent thing. The following 1998 document from the World Trade Organisation's website is republished in full for our readers' interest.

PRESS '87, 26 January 1998 The WTO agreement to liberalize international trade in basic telecommunications services will come into effect on 5 February 1998. The 72 WTO member governments, which have agreed to open their domestic markets to foreign companies, account for nearly 93 per cent of the total domestic and international revenue of US\$600 billion generated in this sector annually.

Examples of the services covered by this agreement include voice telephony, data transmission, telex, telegraph, facsimile, private leased circuit services (i.e. the sale or lease of transmission capacity), fixed and mobile satellite systems and services, cellular telephony, mobile data services, paging and personal communications systems.

Since the agreement was concluded among 69 countries See footnote 1 in February 1997 (see WTO Press Release No: 67), two of these countries – Pakistan and Switzerland – have further improved their liberalization commitments, and three additional countries have agreed to open up their domestic markets in this sector – Barbados, Cyprus and Suriname.

Note to Editors Only the schedules themselves can provide authoritative and complete information on the detailed scope of the commitments. The following is a brief overview:

– On voice telephone service, 47 of the schedules (covering 61 governments) commit to competitive supply (defined here as permitting two or more suppliers). Most of the commitments permit the supply of public voice services, either immediate or phased-in, in at least one market segment: 41 schedules (55 governments) committed on local service, 37 schedules (51 governments) on domestic long distance, and 42 schedules (56 governments) on international service. Resale of public voice telephone is included in 28 schedules (42 governments) or more than 70 per cent of the 59 governments permitting a degree of competition in public voice service.

- In commitments on other services: 49 schedules (63 governments) include commitments on data transmission services; 46 schedules (60 governments) grant access for cellular/mobile telephone markets; 41 schedules (55 governments) commit to competition in leased circuit services (the supply of transmission capacity); 45 schedules (59 governments) include commitments on other types of mobile services (such as PCs, mobile data or paging). For satellite-related communications, 37 schedules (51 governments) committed on some or all types of mobile satellite services or transport capacity and 36 schedules (50 governments) commit on fixed satellite services or transport capacity. In addition, 8 governments schedules some commitments on value-added telecommunications services (e.g. e-mail, on-line data processing or data base retrieval).

- The formal entry into force of the commitments is 5 February 1998. But where a government's commitments for particular services are to be phased in, the actual implementation would take place on the date specified in the schedule. About 40 per cent, or 25 of the 61 governments making offers on voice telephone services, subject these commitments to phase-in.

- Most governments (63 of the 69) included commitments on regulatory disciplines. Of these, 57 committed to the Reference Paper in whole or with few modifications. These commitments relate to such matters as competition safeguards, interconnection guarantees, licensing and independence of regulators.

Footnote 1: The end of the negotiations on 15 February 1997 resulted in 55 schedules of commitments, covering 69 countries (counting individually the 15 member states covered in the single EC schedule). The 55 schedules are from: Antigua & Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Bangladesh, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Cote d'Ivoire, Czech Republic, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, EC & its member states, Ghana, Grenada, Guatemala, Hong Kong (China), Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Israel, Jamaica, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Senegal, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, Slovak Republic, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad & Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United States and Venezuela." *End of press release.*

THE NEW BLACK AUSTRALIANS by James Reed: One of my favourite freedom movement writers, Gareth Kimberley in his "Fact File" column in *The Strategy* (September 2005) has tackled the issue of African immigration. The importation of black "refugees" is done, he rightly notes, "as a source of cheap labour as part of its plan to globalise Australia to make it more competitive against the cheap labour of our Asian neighbours". He continues: "Already the Government has brought in 16,000 Sudanese immigrants almost all of whom have settled in western Sydney. [Gareth, that is but a drop in a very black ocean].

Being unskilled rural workers and with the government destroying our farming industries, these black immigrants have nowhere to go other than to cling together in our largest cities [and now even small towns] and support each other by creating their own "communities". In our pathetic attempts to slavishly follow the Americans, it seems we now have to have our own version of the highly visible and much celebrated African American - a black African Australian".

SHEIK, RATTLE AND ROLL by Brian Simpson: Melbourne Cleric Sheik Mohammed Omran of the Ahlul Sunnah Wal-Jamaah Association has questioned whether a plane crashed into the Pentagon and whether planes did hit the World Trade Centre Twin Towers, as portrayed in the consensus 9/11 Story. The Sheik has also questioned whether the London bombings really were carried out by Muslims. As "icing" on the cake he has proclaimed that Osama bin Laden is a "good man". These claims and others circulate with the *Mecca News* which is printed in Melbourne.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.